

THE WEB—EARLY VISIONS, PRESENT REALITY, AND A GRANDER FUTURE

John McCarthy, Stanford University
mccarthy@stanford.edu

<http://www-formal.stanford.edu/jmc/>

March 12, 2005

- Turing—1936 Universal computer, Wilkes—1948 EDSAC, Neumann,(Eckert and Mauchly)—1946 stored program computer
- Vannevar Bush—1945-Memex, doesn't mention universal computer
- Turing 1947 AI → (1970s applications) → 20xx human-level AI

- McCarthy 1958—Proposal for formalizing common from accomplished today
- 1950s SAGE system, special purpose time-sharing
- McCarthy—1959-1962-1970—universal time-shared utility, motivated by Advice Taker proposal
- Licklider—1960—Man-Computer Symbiosis
- Roberts—1970—ARPAnet → Internet
- Engelbart—1962-1968—Mouse, linked documents
- Kay—1970—Dynabook

- Berners-Lee—late 1980s and early 90s—World Wide Web
- Brin and Page—1996—Google—first adequate search engine
- other prophets—Nelson, etc. whom I neglect unexcusably from ignorance.

SOME EARLY PREDICTIONS WORKED OUT— NOT

- Time-shared public utilities. Modest success. Lack of power, needed too much handholding. Worked fine in
- Stanford AI Lab news service, 1972-1989. Prot newspaper.
- Access to all the world's books. Still hasn't happened steady progress for scientific articles. No economic literature except what's out of copyright. John Ocker the University of Pennsylvania links to more than 20 to-read books.
- On-line buying and selling. I don't think anyone pred auctions in the 1970s.

TIME-SHARING vs. PERSONAL COMPUTE

- General purpose time-sharing was proposed in 1959 and implemented in 1962. Gave each user his share of the computer at their fingertips. **What ever were punched cards?** The Digital Equipment Corporation PDP-6, PDP-10 series did it best and was pushed in competition with IBM.
- TX-2 about 1960 was a \$500,000 personal computer. The first SUN was a \$20,000 personal computer.
- The D.E.C. PDP-7 computers on which Unix was based and first IBM PCs were too weak, but the operating system was adapted to the weaknesses in vastly stronger computers.

TIME-SHARING vs. PCs, part 2

- The PC hell is system administration. Need AI to do on a mass scale.
- Software bloat
- Operating systems as products require the user to do for every new version. A time-sharing subscriber v There are probably 100 times as many system admini should be needed.
- Presently promised “set top boxes” seem to aim at Sysadmin is centralized but is probably too little and

FUTURE

- The present web is pretty good. The users will continue to use it without new ideas. Those whose business is new ideas will not succeed unless they have good new ideas. The dot com boom was substantially due to a large number of bad or trivial new ideas.
- Everyone has trouble using something new. Systems should be designed to understand user states of confusion. Trivial examples include confusing IP address, email address, and URL.
- It is more important for a system to understand a user's state of confusion than to offer sympathy. (Some advocates of "user-centered computing" are hoping to get by with sympathy. My experience is that mere sympathy will only produce annoyance.)

1970 MODEL WORLD OF THE FUTURE

This 1970 conference article “The home computer” was published in *Man and Computer*, (Karger, Basel) available as www.formal.stanford.edu/jmc/hoter2.html.

Here are some fragments of the 1970 article, with notes.

“At present, a newspaper or a book is a package produced by a large organization.

“In our new system, the physical production disappears, leaving a much smaller organization to put out the same product: text and pictures. Moreover, the user does not face

decision to buy Life or Look. He will be able to read or table of contents of each and read such items as fancy, and the system will bill him for what he reads source. In fact, since the cost of keeping a file of in the computer and making it publicly available will even a high school student could compete with the M if he could write well enough and if word of mouth and by reviewers brought him to public attention. What, publication in the new information system?"

Note 2000: I underestimated the resistance to being these organizations would be able to mount. Resistance the publication organizations of non-profit scientific I also underestimated the fraction of the cost of newspaper that would persist even if the newspaper were on-line.

Note 2004: Four years later, the biologists have taken a big step in creating on-line journals that compete with print journals. Their financial basis is page charges, which works for scientists because page charges are a small fraction of the cost of doing the research, but that model won't work for people who are living by writing.

Blogs come closest to my predictions, but they can't support famous professional writers.

2004 November note: Some of the political bloggers have made a lot of money from advertisements during the election. The resulting competition should professionalize blogging.

“A publication is an organization that puts out a list of things that it has edited and recommends to its readers. It helps

produce material that it thinks will suit the readers, a financial arrangement with them about splitting the p

“There can be a wide variety of publications of different standards of writing and editing and different budgets for carrying out these activities.

“However, they will all be equally accessible to all readers, and the only justification for an expensive editorial organization can be that it can produce a more popular package. The standard of reading a package can be set by the publishers.”

Note 2004: This ignores the copying problem. There is no general purpose pay-by-the-read mechanism. Moreover, the difference between professional (full time) writing and serious writing is likely to persist.

The star phenomenon will persist and become even more dominant.

“A reader may feel that he needs help in finding his way through the totality of literature available to him. Various people are eager to make a living by providing it. A bookstore could run a program that when called shows the 'covers' of published books. Reviewers will produce lists for him and make money either by reading their lists or by kickbacks from the publishers. 'Literary advisers' under some catchier name will offer to generate lists just for him according to a profile of his interests.”

Note 2004: This hasn't happened enough to make the industry dependent of publications. The 1970 article didn't account the importance of publicity and advertising.

“Advertising in the sense of something that can force the attention of a reader will disappear because it will be hard to read via a program that screens out undesirable material.”

Note 2004: This didn't happen, perhaps fortunately because I didn't predict spam. I am temperamentally an extreme pessimist but the pessimists didn't predict spam either.

“Another effect is the possibility of frequent revisions of articles and books. An author can take into account new facts and people's criticisms, and the revision will take effect immediately. Readers of an old version will be unlikely to read a new version even if it contains important changes. Better put the new version in a new article.”

Note 2004: I put dated footnotes on my old articles, but their existence lures anyone to read the article again.

“Public controversy can be carried out more expeditiously at present. If I read something that seems controversial, I ask the system if anyone has filed a reply. This, together with the author’s ability to revise his original statement, will lead to convergence on considered positions more quickly than before, even if they do not come to actual agreement.”

Note 2000: There are various proposals, but this has not happened yet. One can imagine Bush and Gore “truthfully” putting on their candidates’ web sites arguments and positions of the other guy. Personal attacks too.

Note 2000 June 1: Today's New York Times has an article titled "E-Mail Messages to the Press Have Made the Race a Cyberwar" recounting how the Gore and Bush campaigns send dozens of messages per day to reporters. I suppose a partial realization of my 1970 prediction.

Note 2004: The campaigns have their web sites, but aren't the main places undecided people go to see and refute those of the other side. Alas, TV advertising is the main way of influencing the voters.

"Famous authors will not need publishers because readers will have the system find their stuff automatically."

Note 2004: A try at this failed because of copying

“To summarize: the new information system will promote intellectual competition by reducing the price of entry, will allow readers to be selective, and will allow authors to revise until they are satisfied that it withstands criticism as well as ever will. This should make intellectual life more interesting.”

Note 2004: This doesn't seem to happen much. Instead of perfecting their earlier analyses, bloggers just bombard their readers with new stuff.

“The new information system will have a profound effect on buying and selling. Sellers of movies, groceries, auto repairs, plumbing services and cures for baldness will find it advantageous to list their wares in the information system together with their competitors.”

prices and availability. The user can place an order through the system as he can by telephone, but he can do much more.

Note 2004 : This happened but isn't revolutionary.

“(1) He can call on someone's program to scan the market for sports cars and propose what it considers the best deal. The program might even negotiate with programs representing car sellers. There's some of it now.

“(2) He can tell the system whether last year's cure for a disease worked and get a summary of the opinions of those who tried it. He can also record their opinions of the cure he contemplates trying this year.

“(3) He can make an airplane or hotel reservation by talking to a program that is connected with the airline or hotel reservation company.

written to tell him what is available. He need not suffer the delays you now get when you call an airline or travel agent for hours.” [All this has happened.](#)

“(4) Individual design and construction services can be provided through the system although this requires the development of computer-controlled manufacturing techniques for various types of articles. The idea is that automated design programs will produce designs for articles meeting individual specifications.”

[Note 2004: This hasn't happened yet. Maybe it will.](#)

“There are many more useful services that can be offered through the new information system and again the system is competing with the competition. Writing and storing a program and announcing it is a service that can be offered through the system.”

availability can be a very low capital operation, and I can collect whatever price has been set for its use.”

Note 2004: This has happened, but in the world of computers is far less convenient than in a world of time-sharing—should be.

Note 2004: The above greatly underestimates the role of the press and publicity of all kinds plays in creating reputation and attention to ideas.

2004 note: My 1970 article did not see AI as an essential tool, now see it to help people use computers.

THE FUTURE OF PERSONAL COMPUTING INVOLVES BETTER THAN THE AI OF TODAY.

Example: swindle protector

- A low level protector knows about specific swindles
- Higher level can identify variants of the Nigerian scam
- High level—knows facts about swindling in general.

Example: Understanding a user's confusion.

- Suppose the user confuses IP addresses and URLs. If a program asks for an IP address, and the user gives a URL, most present programs will simply put up an OK box with the message "wrong format". The user may just worry about the message and try to enter the URL. A system designer who anticipated the confusion could have the program say "You gave me a URL when I asked for an IP address."

- More generally, system administration requires knowledge of user reasoning. **Evidence:** The people who spend several hours trying to fix my problems obviously think a lot. They understand the problem and fix my problems, but they don't understand enough to write a program that they do it to automate their work.

LOGICAL AI IS PROBABLY NEEDED TO UNDERSTAND USERS' PROBLEMS

Logical AI involves expressing what is known about the especially common sense knowledge, in languages of mathematical logic. The logical AI program infers from the sentences about the world and sentences about a particular situation that a certain course of action is appropriate. The main scientific basis of logical AI is mathematical logic as developed since 1870s. Methods of *non-monotonic reasoning* developed since 1980s are also needed.

Logical AI is based on study of the world and the actions to achieve goals. Its main rival is based on studying human animal neurophysiology. Both approaches have been proposed.

50 years, and neither has reached human-level AI yet.
took the geneticists about 100 years from Mendel to the
code, and genetics isn't done yet.

BIG ADVANCES REQUIRE LOGICAL FORMULATION COMMON SENSE KNOWLEDGE AND REASONING

- Humans mainly communicate in facts, not just programs.
- Humans reason to get new facts from old. Logicians formalized these rules. Gödel proved them complete.
- Reasoning programs require full first order reasoning.
- Advanced help requires [understanding](#) the problem domain, usually understanding the user's state of mind.

THE FUTURE OF THE WEB—PART I

- Everyone will be able to read anything. The problem authors will be solved.
- While world population won't even double, the published will be created by a factor of five.
- Very specialized interest will have adequate publics.
- There will be more rich stars—for better or worse.

THE FUTURE OF THE WEB?—PART II

Programs that understand

- substantial parts of natural language documents,
- facts about the world,
- facts about people's states of mind, including confusion of mind,
- can give good advice,
- and can put together programs from this information